

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LATASHA MCLAUGHLIN, on behalf of
herself and all others similarly situated,

No. C 15-02904 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, NA,

Defendant.

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART
AND DENYING IN PART
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
FILE UNDER SEAL**

Plaintiff Latasha McLaughlin has filed an administrative motion to file under seal portions of her memorandum and exhibits in support of her motion for class certification. The portions sought to be sealed contain confidential financial and propriety information and had been designated as highly confidential under the parties' protective order. In response, defendant Wells Fargo submitted a detailed declaration in support of the motion to seal.

"Those who seek to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must meet the high threshold of showing that compelling reasons support secrecy. A good cause showing under Rule 26(c) will suffice to keep sealed records attached to non-dispositive motions." *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Motions for class certification are non-dispositive motions and thus parties seeking to seal portions of them must meet the good cause standard.

Here, the administrative motion to seal is **GRANTED IN PART**. Exhibits L–P of the Insley-Pruitt declaration contain personal financial information of putative class members and

1 shall remain sealed. Exhibits A–I and K of the Leo declaration shall be redacted as proposed in
2 defendant’s response to the administrative motion to file under seal. The portions of plaintiff’s
3 memorandum identified in the motion to seal shall also be redacted as proposed. The motion to
4 seal exhibits J and Q to the Insley-Pruitt declaration is **DENIED**, as defendant concedes that these
5 exhibits do not contain highly confidential information.

6 By **NOON ON FEBRUARY 5, 2016**, plaintiff shall re-file and submit to the Court a redacted
7 version of her motion for class certification, along with the redacted exhibits in accordance with
8 this order.

9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated: February 1, 2016.

13 
14 _____
15 WILLIAM ALSUP
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28